



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/087,065	(02/27/2002	Norbert Sass	024-1-033 5177 EXAMINER		
2512	7590	08/16/2004				
PERMAN		N	HO, UYEN T			
425 POST R FAIRFIELD		324		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,				3731		
				DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Auglication No.	Applicant(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Sugar-	10/087,065	SASS, NORBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	May 2004.					
·						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 8-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-11 and 13-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and is	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	·				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the i	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action of form P10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ionty documents have been receiveu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 3731

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract contains phrases "This invention relates to" in line 1 and "The present invention makes use" in line 13-14 which can be implied. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8-11, 13-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ungs (5,866,561) in view of Reed et al. (6,197,013). Ungs discloses a method of using estrogen compounds to reduce the risk of restenoisis wherein an estrogen compound can be coated on a stent and placed at the desired delivery site, temporarily or permanently (col. 2, lines 40-46) and the preferred compounds is 17-Beta Estradiol (col. 4, lines 10-11). Although, Ungs does not disclose a CVD process for coating the inner and outer surface of the stent, attention is directed to the Reed et al. reference which teaches using a CVD process for coating a stent (col. 9, lines 45-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a CVD process for coating the Ungs stent.

In regard to claims 4-5, it is a well known in the art to select a different quantity of drug or treating material for treating a surgical site at certain healing time. Therefore, it

Art Unit: 3731

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to increase or decrease the quantity of 17-Beta Estradiol in order to control the healing rate of a surgical site.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to disclose or suggest an adhesive layer contains DLC for supporting 17beta-estradiol on the stent surface.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is (703) 306-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on 703-308-0858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3731

August 9, 2004